

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

GIOVANNI KURTZE,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOE LOMBARDO, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01055-RFB-CWH

ORDER

**I. DISCUSSION**

According to the Nevada Department of Corrections (“NDOC”) inmate database, Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated at the Clark County Detention Center. Plaintiff has not filed an updated address notification with the Court. The Court notes that pursuant to Nevada Local Rule of Practice IA 3-1, a “pro se party must immediately file with the court written notification of any change of mailing address, email address, telephone number, or facsimile number. The notification must include proof of service on each opposing party or the party’s attorney. Failure to comply with this rule may result in the dismissal of the action, entry of default judgment, or other sanctions as deemed appropriate by the court.” Nev. Loc. R. IA 3-1. This Court grants Plaintiff thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order to file his updated address with this Court. If Plaintiff does not update the Court with his current address within thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order, the Court will recommend dismissal of this action without prejudice.

///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

It is further ordered that failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that the case be dismissed without prejudice.

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE